ORIGINAL

R06-5 UIC
R06-6 RCRA SUBTITLE D
R06-7 RCRA SUBTITLE C

From: Erin CONLEY
To: McCambridge, Mike
Date: 1/11/2006 8:46:03 AM
Subject: Mike, I just got these from Deborah, I have already let her know that we adopted the rules, so maybe

PC 2

Mike, I just got these from Deborah, I have already let her know that we adopted the rules, so maybe any of the suggested changes should be filed in a "deal with later" spot.
Let me know what you think about these, it doesn't look like anything too drastic.

Erin:

I need responses, please, on these questions and suggestions. The line numbers refer to the 01 we sent Ms Gunn.

703:

l. 702 – Change "703.222 et seq." to actual section references.

l. 1393, 1401, 1689, 1696 – Specify what is "appropriate". I know this is taken verbatim from the federal rule but Mr. McCambridge, in notice pages, often lists numerous "deviations from federal rules".

App.A, G.1. needs text per SOS rules.

720

l. 1204, 1208 – Why "Method"? It's inconsistent with the other ASTM references.

l. 1279, 1283 – Why "EPA 600/4-79-020" in one and "EPA 600/4-79-002" in the other?

l. 1449, 1454, 1458 – Why "final" in 2 and "FINAL" in one?

l. 1859 – "40 CFR 265, Appendices I and III through V (2004)" is on file with SOS but missing from your version. It exists on your web site and I will fax you the SOS version if needed. Restore or strike.

l. 1897 – your version references "733.155" twice. We think you mean "733.152". Please advise.

l. 1905-1909 & 1911-1913 – Why do these different CFRs have the same title?

721:

Numerous times throughout most of these Parts you have changed (e.g., l. 371) "40 CFR 268" to "federal 40 CFR 268". Why? Is there some CFR that is not "federal"? And why add "federal" some places and not in others?

l. 1782 – why strike "2002"?

l. 2341 & 2463 – Why "on site" and "on-site"?

l. 3116, 3119, 3123, 3127, 3131 – Why "Standard"?

722:

l. 137 – Why "(2004)"?

l. 1232, 1258, 1327 – Should be "appendix"? if not , why lowercase "section" and "annex"?

l. 1287 – Should be "table". Ditto.

723:

l. 96, 100 – Why strike "shall"?

724:

l. 2199 – Should "724.251" be "724.151"?

l. 7938 – Should "8700-22a" be "8700-22A" as in l. 7940? See also l. 8111.

App. I the table under (f) – "ethylf"?

726:

l. 582-583, 2124-2125 – Why "federal appendix" here but not in l. 2117 (and elsewhere throughout the Parts)?

l. 2246 – "appropriate" see note in Part 703.

l. 2550 – Should this be "730.11(b)"?
l. 2871 – App. H is titled "Hazardous Constituents"

l. 3175, 3182, 3185, 3194 – The agency refers to itself (as does everyone else) as "IEMA".

l. 3444 – Change "against" to "Against".

728:

l. 2644 – why capitalize "Methoxychlor" and "Toxaphene"? you've taken the others (l. 2628, 2636 to lowercase).

738:

l. 1322, 1333 – the 2nd "following" is not necessary because of the 1st "following.

dc